Joint Committee Hearing Senate and House Transportation Committees July 14, 2015

Thank you for the opportunity to present my testimony here today to support my conclusion that Senate Bills 535 and 559 should not be enacted as drafted. My name is John B. Mancke, and I am a licensed attorney having retired from active law practice in 2013. For 43 years, my legal practice consisted primarily of defense of motor vehicle violations throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. In addition to having defended thousands of speeding cases throughout my career, I have been a licensed radar operator for over 30 years.

Over the years, I have had the opportunity to lecture on speeding and motor vehicle matters for the Pennsylvania Bar Institute, the Pennsylvania Association of Criminal Defense Attorneys, the Pennsylvania Conference of State Trial Judges, the Pennsylvania Fraternal Order of Police, the National Fraternal Order of Police, Penn State University Dickinson School of Law, and Widener University School of Law. Additionally, I have written extensively about motor vehicle law, authoring over 50 articles, including "It's all in the Timing: A Look at the New Speed Timing Devices," which was published in Trooper Magazine, and the book, *The Defense of Speeding Cases in Pennsylvania*.

While it is tempting to suggest that it is easy to simply point and shoot a radar gun at a moving target, a quick review of how radar works suggests otherwise. Most basically, the term "radar" is an acronym for <u>RA</u>dio <u>D</u>etection <u>And R</u>anging. A police radar system is comprised of a transmitter and a receiver which uses the Doppler principle that compares the shifted frequency of the reflection of the moving object to the original frequency of the transmitted beam. From the difference, the radar unit calculates speed which is then displayed on the screen.

The radar system both transmits and receives. In a stationary radar system, the transmitter remains still while the monitored vehicle is the receiver in motion. Once the transmitted beam reflects off the monitored vehicle, it becomes a transmitter in motion, sending the radio wave back to the stationary receiver. The transmitted frequency is established and the receiver notes the difference in frequency.



In a perfect world, that would be the end of our conversation, and we could conclude that any police officer should be able to use radar, as Senate Bill 535 provides. The world we live in is not perfect, however, and radar remains a far-from-perfect tool for traffic enforcement. For example, there is no sight on a radar gun that can be specifically aimed at a specific target. A radar gun has a loosely defined beam width of 12 - 18 degrees. In the simplest terms, a cone-shaped beam is created, but the pattern of sensitivity is an elliptical pattern.



Identification of a target vehicle is a primary problem for accurate reading, and can be influenced by:

- Target size
- Target shape
- Target composition
- Target position
- Target speed



Echoing the challenges of correct target vehicle identification, The Northwestern University Traffic Institute suggests that the radar operator should use a minimum of three seconds in vehicle spacing, and that a radar operator "should not attempt to take readings where vehicles are spaced less than three seconds apart or when vehicles are traveling side by side."

In addition to the vehicle identification issue, radar is affected by interference, which generally can be divided into two groups: natural and man-made interference. For example, birds in flight, heavy rain or snow can affect the reading; air-conditioning and heating fans in the patrol vehicle can cause a reading to be displayed.

Lidar (<u>LIght Detection And Ranging</u>) is often referred to as a laser speed device. Lidar transmits infra-red light pulses to measure speed, unlike police traffic radar which uses a continuous beam of radio microwaves to determine vehicle speed. The light pulses travel to and from the object in a narrower beam than radar. The measurement of elapsed time to and from the vehicle allows the computation of the vehicle's distance; changes in that distance provide the vehicle's speed.

Radar, as it has been used in Pennsylvania, has not always been used in accordance with State Police Field Regulations or in accordance with acceptable radar principles. For example, radar has incorrectly been used in the following ways:

- Transmitting through closed windows, creating the possibility of refraction
- Transmitting in close proximity to active heating and air-conditioning fans in the police vehicle, creating interference and a reading
- Transmitting in congested areas without three-second intervals between vehicles, creating target identification problems
- Transmitting into side- or rear-view mirrors, bouncing the beam back to obtain a reading from a vehicle approaching from the rear, creating refraction and identification problems

These remarks highlight that Senate Bills 535 and 559 do not offer adequate provisions to safeguard the public from mis-use of radar and/or lidar. While Senate Bill 559 provides a reference to a training course for municipal police, no such provision exists for State Police.

In addition, the training provisions set forth in Senate Bill 559 are completely inadequate to protect the public from mis-use of radar and lidar. At a minimum, the parameters of the training should be established <u>by statute</u> to include:

- 1. A minimum number of hours of training
- 2. A set curriculum of relevant training topics
- 3. Required hands-on training
- 4. Requirements for hiring instructors, which would prevent any conflict of interest. For example, instructors should be independent experts in their field, not current

law enforcement officers, in order to eliminate any tie to financial gain for the employer of the training officer

- 5. A required written test, with a minimum score needed to pass
- 6. Public access to training/instruction manuals, as well as public access to field regulations or user instructions
- 7. A prohibition against intentional concealment applicable to all police officers

Previously, I provided former State Representative Jerry L. Nailor with a suggested 17hour radar training course, which I have attached to my written testimony. I also note that the NHTSA original lidar training course is comprised of 24 instruction hours, a written exam, and 16 hours of supervised practice.

The current number of speed timing devices available to local police make the need for radar and lidar unnecessary. The limitations of radar and lidar in congested and urban areas also weigh against their use by local police. The cost for proper training before their use also leads to the conclusion that Senate Bills 535 and 559 should not be enacted. They simply are not necessary.

John B. Mancke, Esq. 1216 Fleetwood Drive Carlisle, PA 17013 (717) 903-6489 FCC Radar Operator KA69103 LAW OFFICES

MANCKE, WAGNER, SPREHA & MCQUILLAN

2233 NORTH FRONT STREET

JOHN B. MANCKE P. RICHARD WAGNER EDWARD F. SPREHA, JR. BRYAN M. MCQUILLAN

HARRISBURG, PA 17110

PHONE (717) 234-7051 FAX (717) 234-7080

November 20, 2007

via fax - 2 pages 717-772-2279

Hon, Jerry L. Nailor 312 Main Capitol Building PO Box 202088 Harrisburg, PA 17120-2088

Dear Jerry:

This is in response to your request for amendments that will address the concerns that I have expressed about local police departments being allowed to use radar. To begin with, my concern is that all officers, including the state police, should be properly trained on the use of radar. Currently, the state police are specifically instructed when they are being cross-examined that they are not to provide testimony regarding the "theory of radar" or the "science involved in the operation of radar." This is wrong in my opinion since any police officer using radar must understand the theory of radar and the science involved in the operation in order to properly use the unit.

I am therefore suggesting, as outlined below, that all police officers receive training that is in conformity with the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) basic training program in radar speed measurement. I have altered their basic curriculum and the training time involved because, by my proposal, no moving radar would be authorized unless there was an amendment by the legislature. Therefore, you will note below that my proposal recommends the use of radar in a stationary position only and that current members of the Pennsylvania state police would be exempted from any training requirements, however six months after the effective date of any amendment, newly employed Pennsylvania state police officers would also have to undergo the suggested training.

As it relates to local police, all local police would be required to complete the training course which would require a minimum of 17 hours of training using the specific curriculum which mirrors the NHTSA suggestions.

Add the following to §3368(c)(2):

(c)(2): Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (3), electronic devices such as radio-microwave devices (commonly referred to as electronic speed meters or radar) may be used only in a stationary position:

(i) By members of the Pennsylvania State Police. However, six months after the effective date of this amendment, a newly employed member of the Pennsylvania State Police shall undergo the training outlined in §3362(c)(ii)(a), as provided hereafter, prior to being authorized to use radio-microwave devices under this subsection.

Add the following to proposed §3368(c)(2)(ii):

(ii)(a) The training course referred to above in §3368(c)(2)(i), (ii) shall at a minimum include 17 hours of training which course of training shall include the following curriculum:

- 1. Overview and Introduction
- 2. Speed offenses and speed enforcement
- 3. Basic Principles of Radar Speed Measurement to, at a minimum, include:
 - a. Radar's development and fundamental concepts
 - b. Doppler Principle
 - c. Principles of stationary radar
 - d. Target vehicle identification
 - e. Factors affecting radar operation
 - f. "Jamming" and detection of radar
 - g. review and summary
- 4. Legal and Operation Considerations
- 5. Operation of Specific Radar Devices Classroom segment
- 6. Moot Court
- 7. Operation of Specific Radar Instruments Field Training
- 8. Course Summary

I hope this is of some assistance to you and should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

ery truly yours,

John'B. Mancke

JBM/hrc