Regulatory Analysis Form (Completed by Promulgating Agency)	INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION
(All Comments submitted on this regulation will appear on IRRC's website)	E E
(1) Agency	
Revenue	•
(2) Agency Number:	
Identification Number: #15-458	IRRC Number:
(3) PA Code Cite: 61 Pa. Code §§ 5.3 and 5.7	nace ramour.
(4) Short Title:	
Payments by Electronic Funds Transfer	
(5) Agency Contacts (List Telephone Number and Email Address):	
Primary Contact: Mary Sprunk (717) 783-7524	
Secondary Contact: Douglas A. Berguson: (717) 346-4633	8
(6) Type of Rulemaking (check applicable box):	
Proposed Regulation Emergency	Certification Regulation;
Final Regulation Certif	fication by the Governor
Final Omitted Regulation	fication by the Attorney General
(7) Briefly explain the regulation in clear and nontechnical language.	(100 words or less)
Implement statutory change enacted under Act 71 of July 18, 2013 (Figure 18) The act authorizes the Secretary of Revenue and the State Treasurer to lower the electronic funds transfer (EFT) mandate currently codified of \$10,000 or more" to payments of "\$1,000 or more."	to jointly promulgate a regulation to
	÷
a a constant of the constant o	
(8) State the statutory authority for the regulation. Include specific st	atutory citation
(b) State the Statutory authority for the regulation. Merade <u>specime</u> st	action Citation.
Statutory authority for the regulation is set forth in section 9 of The	Fiscal Code (72 P.S. § 9).
	H
	3

(9) Is the regulation mandated by any federal or state law or court o	
any relevant state or federal court decisions? If yes, cite the specific any deadlines for action.	• •
1900	
The regulation is not mandated by federal law, court order or federa	l regulation.
A -4 71 -5 T-1- 10 2012 (SD 501 DNI 1200)	4:
Act 71 of July 18, 2013 (SB 591, PN 1328), authorizes the promulgater and the Secretary of Revenue.	tion of the regulation by the State
•	*
l a	8.
2	
	17742
	>
	*
·	
(10) State why the regulation is needed. Explain the compelling pu	
regulation. Describe who will benefit from the regulation. Quantif	y the benefits as completely as
possible and approximate the number of people who will benefit.	·
The amendment to the regulation expands the "Payments by Electron	nic Funds Transfer" (FFT)
requirement that was enacted in 1992. Electronic payments offer se	
payments. Payments are received faster and errors in handling and	posting of tax payments are reduced.
The Department of Parience and the Transpury Department jointly no	
The Department of Revenue and the Treasury Department jointly pr	- 01
implement a statutory change made by the Pennsylvania Legislature	- 01
	- 0
	- 01
	- 0
	- 01
	- 0
	- 0
implement a statutory change made by the Pennsylvania Legislature	under Act 71 of July 18, 2013.
implement a statutory change made by the Pennsylvania Legislature (11) Are there any provisions that are more stringent than federal sta	under Act 71 of July 18, 2013. andards? If yes, identify the specific
implement a statutory change made by the Pennsylvania Legislature (11) Are there any provisions that are more stringent than federal sta	under Act 71 of July 18, 2013. andards? If yes, identify the specific
implement a statutory change made by the Pennsylvania Legislature	under Act 71 of July 18, 2013. andards? If yes, identify the specific
implement a statutory change made by the Pennsylvania Legislature (11) Are there any provisions that are more stringent than federal sta	under Act 71 of July 18, 2013. andards? If yes, identify the specific
implement a statutory change made by the Pennsylvania Legislature (11) Are there any provisions that are more stringent than federal sta	under Act 71 of July 18, 2013. andards? If yes, identify the specific
implement a statutory change made by the Pennsylvania Legislature (11) Are there any provisions that are more stringent than federal state provisions and the compelling Pennsylvania interest that demands s	under Act 71 of July 18, 2013. andards? If yes, identify the specific
implement a statutory change made by the Pennsylvania Legislature (11) Are there any provisions that are more stringent than federal state provisions and the compelling Pennsylvania interest that demands s	under Act 71 of July 18, 2013. andards? If yes, identify the specific
implement a statutory change made by the Pennsylvania Legislature (11) Are there any provisions that are more stringent than federal state provisions and the compelling Pennsylvania interest that demands s	under Act 71 of July 18, 2013. andards? If yes, identify the specific
implement a statutory change made by the Pennsylvania Legislature (11) Are there any provisions that are more stringent than federal state provisions and the compelling Pennsylvania interest that demands s	under Act 71 of July 18, 2013. andards? If yes, identify the specific
implement a statutory change made by the Pennsylvania Legislature (11) Are there any provisions that are more stringent than federal state provisions and the compelling Pennsylvania interest that demands s	under Act 71 of July 18, 2013. andards? If yes, identify the specific
implement a statutory change made by the Pennsylvania Legislature (11) Are there any provisions that are more stringent than federal state provisions and the compelling Pennsylvania interest that demands s	under Act 71 of July 18, 2013. andards? If yes, identify the specific
implement a statutory change made by the Pennsylvania Legislature (11) Are there any provisions that are more stringent than federal state provisions and the compelling Pennsylvania interest that demands s	under Act 71 of July 18, 2013. andards? If yes, identify the specific
implement a statutory change made by the Pennsylvania Legislature (11) Are there any provisions that are more stringent than federal state provisions and the compelling Pennsylvania interest that demands s	under Act 71 of July 18, 2013. andards? If yes, identify the specific
implement a statutory change made by the Pennsylvania Legislature (11) Are there any provisions that are more stringent than federal sta	under Act 71 of July 18, 2013. andards? If yes, identify the specific
implement a statutory change made by the Pennsylvania Legislature (11) Are there any provisions that are more stringent than federal state provisions and the compelling Pennsylvania interest that demands s	under Act 71 of July 18, 2013. andards? If yes, identify the specific

(12) How does this regulation compare with those of the other states? How will this affect Pennsylvania's ability to compete with other states?	
The regulation will not put Pennsylvania at a competitive disadvantage with other states.	
*	
(10) Till 1 1 1 1 CC / 11 1 1 1 1 Cd / 12 1 1 1 1 2 Cd / 12 Cd	:00?
(13) Will the regulation affect any other regulations of the promulgating agency or other state agenc If yes, explain and provide specific citations.	ies?
This regulation does not affect any other existing or proposed regulations of the Department or any state agency.	other
state agency.	
e .	
(14) Describe the communications with and solicitation of input from the public, any advisory council/group, small businesses and groups representing small businesses in the development and drafting of the regulation. List the specific persons and/or groups who were involved. ("Small busi is defined in Section 3 of the Regulatory Review Act, Act 76 of 2012.)	ness"
er e	
A copy of the regulation was forwarded to the Pennsylvania Bar Association, the Philadelphia Bar Association, the Pennsylvania Institute of Certified Public Accountants, the Pennsylvania Society of Public Accountants, and the Pennsylvania Chamber of Business and Industry. The regulation is list the Department's Quarterly Regulatory Report posted on the Department's website and will be forwarded to interested parties upon request.	f ed on
The Department continues to inform taxpayers of the lower EFT requirement in this regulation thromany avenues, such as the Revenue Tax Update publication, notification in the electronic E-TIDES system, and on the Department's website (www.revenue.state.pa.us).	ugh

(15) Identify the types and number of persons, businesses, small businesses (as defined in Section 3 of the Regulatory Review Act, Act 76 of 2012) and organizations which will be affected by the regulation. How are they affected?

All businesses currently remitting tax payments between \$1,000 and \$10,000 by check will be impacted.

	Business Impact	
	Number of	
	Businesses with	Businesses
Tax Type	\$1K-\$10K checks	Impacted 2/
SUT	11,430	11,384
Withholding	12,587	12,537
Corporation Tax 1/	34,392	34,255
Total 3/	58,409	58,176

1/ Includes Mutual Thrift Institutions Tax, Corporate Net Income Tax, Capital Stock and Franchise Taxes, Bank and Trust Company Shares Tax, Title Insurance Company Shares Tax, Insurance Premiums Tax, Public Utility Realty Tax, and Gross Receipts Tax.

2/ This estimate is derived from tax payment data and data from the U.S. Small Business Administration.

3/ The total number of businesses impacted may be overstated to the extent businesses remit checks for more than one tax type.

Data is not available for the other tax types included in this regulation. However, the number of taxpayers for those tax types is not expected to be significant.

(16) List the persons, groups or entities, including small businesses, that will be required to comply with the regulation. Approximate the number that will be required to comply.

Due to the disclosure of confidential taxpayer information, the affected entities cannot be listed. The estimated number of businesses impacted is reported in (15) above.

(17) Identify the financial, economic and social impact of the regulation on individuals, small businesses, businesses and labor communities and other public and private organizations. Evaluate the benefits expected as a result of the regulation.

There is no effect on individuals remitting Article III (Personal Income Tax).

Businesses, labor communities, and public and private organizations will now need to EFT or send by certified check tax remittances that can currently be satisfied by standard checks. These entities may incur staff time or other expenses to comply with the regulation, although this is expected to be minimal.

The benefit is a \$39,000 savings in administrative cost for the Department of Revenue.

(18) Explain how the benefits of the regulation outweigh any cost and adverse effects.
There is a \$39,000 savings in administrative cost to the Department of Revenue. While the cost and
adverse effects on the affected businesses are unknown, it can be expected that after an initial setup
expense, the cost is minimal.
II , 20
(19) Provide a specific estimate of the costs and/or savings to the regulated community associated with
compliance, including any legal, accounting or consulting procedures which may be required. Explain
how the dollar estimates were derived.
It can be expected that the cost to the regulated community, after an initial setup expense of staff time or
other resources, is minimal.
It is estimated to be cost neutral for businesses when the cost of postage, envelopes, and paper check are
balanced against any cost to EFT.
(20) Provide a specific estimate of the costs and/or savings to the local governments associated with
compliance, including any legal, accounting or consulting procedures which may be required. Explain
how the dollar estimates were derived.
X Dec
It can be expected that the cost to local government, after an initial setup expense of staff time or other
resources, is minimal.
It is estimated to be cost neutral for businesses when the cost of postage, envelopes, and paper check are
balanced against any cost to EFT.
(21) Provide a specific estimate of the costs and/or savings to the state government associated with the
(21) Provide a specific estimate of the costs and/or savings to the state government associated with the implementation of the regulation, including any legal, accounting, or consulting procedures which may
implementation of the regulation, including any legal, accounting, or consulting procedures which may
implementation of the regulation, including any legal, accounting, or consulting procedures which may
implementation of the regulation, including any legal, accounting, or consulting procedures which may
implementation of the regulation, including any legal, accounting, or consulting procedures which may
implementation of the regulation, including any legal, accounting, or consulting procedures which may be required. Explain how the dollar estimates were derived.
implementation of the regulation, including any legal, accounting, or consulting procedures which may
implementation of the regulation, including any legal, accounting, or consulting procedures which may be required. Explain how the dollar estimates were derived.

(22) For each of the groups and entities identified in items (19)-(21) above accounting or consulting procedures and additional reporting, recordkeepir including copies of forms or reports, which will be required for implement explanation of measures which have been taken to minimize these requires	ng or other paperwork, ation of the regulation and an
	2
The amendment to the regulation, as required by Act 71 of July 18, 2013, or reporting, recordkeeping or other paperwork requirements.	loes not change existing
	· •
	¥
	**
·	
*	
· . ·	
	ş.

(23) In the table below, provide an estimate of the fiscal savings and costs associated with implementation and compliance for the regulated community, local government, and state government for the current year and five subsequent years.

	Current FY Year	FY +1 Year	FY +2 Year	FY +3 Year	FY +4 Year	FY +5 Year
SAVINGS:	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Regulated Community						
Local Government		· ·	됨			
State Government	\$39,000	\$39,000	\$39,000	\$39,000	\$39,000	\$39,000
Total Savings	\$39,000	\$39,000	\$39,000	\$39,000	\$39,000	\$39,000
COSTS:						==
Regulated Community	Minimal	Minimal	Minimal	Minimal	Minimal	Minimal
Local Government	Minimal	Minimal	Minimal	Minimal	Minimal	Minimal
State Government	\$0 .	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Costs	Minimal	Minimal	Minimal	Minimal	Minimal	Minimal
REVENUE LOSSES:	/				· £	
Regulated Community	\$0				-	
Local Government	\$0					
State Government	\$0		1			
Total Revenue Losses	\$0		1			4

(23a) Provide the past three year expenditure history for programs affected by the regulation.

Program	FY -3	FY -2	FY -1	Current FY
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
				141
			(e	9
	9			
			= 12	
				ii ii
	Ē			

- (24) For any regulation that may have an adverse impact on small businesses (as defined in Section 3 of the Regulatory Review Act, Act 76 of 2012), provide an economic impact statement that includes the following:
 - (a) An identification and estimate of the number of small businesses subject to the regulation.
 - (b) The projected reporting, recordkeeping and other administrative costs required for compliance with the proposed regulation, including the type of professional skills necessary for preparation of the report or record.
 - (c) A statement of probable effect on impacted small businesses.
 - (d) A description of any less intrusive or less costly alternative methods of achieving the purpose of the proposed regulation.

RESPONSES:

- (a) It is estimated a total of 58,176 small businesses will be subject to the regulation; however, this total may be overstated to the extent businesses remit checks for more than one tax type. Details of this estimate are reported in (15) above.
- (b) The effect on impacted small businesses is expected to be minimal.
- (c) The effect on impacted small businesses is expected to be minimal. Any added expense to convert to electronic payments should be offset by savings on checks, stamps, and envelopes.
- (d) There is no less intrusive or less costly alternative method to electronically remit tax payments. It is expected that the cost is minimal.

groups or persons including, but not lin	have been develonited to, minoriti				
No special groups are affected by the re	egulation.		,		w.
* ·	. a			٠	
		te tr			
* *			* *		
2			8		ж •
ų.					
		·			
(OC) T 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			h h h		ad and
(26) Include a description of any altern rejected and a statement that the least b					ed and
	urdensome accep	table alternativ	e has been		ed and
rejected and a statement that the least b	urdensome accep	table alternativ	e has been		ed and
rejected and a statement that the least b	urdensome accep	table alternativ	e has been ation.	selected.	ed and
rejected and a statement that the least b	urdensome accep	table alternativ	e has been ation.	selected.	ed and
rejected and a statement that the least b There are no alternative regulatory prov	urdensome accep	table alternativ	e has been ation.	selected.	ed and
rejected and a statement that the least b There are no alternative regulatory prov	urdensome accep	table alternativ	e has been ation.	selected.	
rejected and a statement that the least be. There are no alternative regulatory proving the statement of th	urdensome accep	table alternativ	e has been ation.	selected.	
rejected and a statement that the least be. There are no alternative regulatory proving the statement of th	urdensome accep	table alternativ	e has been ation.	selected.	

(27) In conducting a regulatory flexibility	y analysis, explain whether regulatory methods were considered
that will minimize any adverse impact on	small businesses (as defined in Section 3 of the Regulatory
Review Act), including:	•

- a) The establishment of less stringent compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
- b) The establishment of less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
- c) The consolidation or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
- d) The establishment of performing standards for small businesses to replace design or operational standards required in the regulation; and
- e) The exemption of small businesses from all or any part of the requirements contained in the regulation.

No regulatory flexibility analysis was conducted as regulation was promulgated due to a revision in Pennsylvania Law under Act 71 of July 18, 2013.

(28) If data is the basis for this regulation, please provide a description of the data, explain in detail how the data was obtained, and how it meets the acceptability standard for empirical, replicable and testable data that is supported by documentation, statistics, reports, studies or research. Please submit data or supporting materials with the regulatory package. If the material exceeds 50 pages, please provide it in a searchable electronic format or provide a list of citations and internet links that, where possible, can be accessed in a searchable format in lieu of the actual material. If other data was considered but not used, please explain why that data was determined not to be acceptable.

No scientific data, studies or references are used to justify this regulation.

	<u></u>
(29) Include a schedule for review of the regulation including:	
A. The date by which the agency must receive public comments:	<u>N/A</u>
B. The date or dates on which public meetings or hearings will be held:	<u>N/A</u>
C. The expected date of promulgation of the proposed regulation as a final-form regulation:	1 st Quarter, 2014
D. The expected effective date of the final-form regulation:	Upon final publication
E. The date by which compliance with the final-form regulation will be required:	Upon final publication
F. The date by which required permits, licenses or other approvals must be obtained:	<u>N/A</u>
	*!
	9
(30) Describe the plan developed for evaluating the continuing effectiveness implementation.	of the regulations after its
This regulation is scheduled for review within five years of final publication. assigned.	No sunset date has been
	20
- IX	¥
	×
K a	
ก	

Department of Revenue - Notice of Final-Omitted Rulemaking
61 Pa. Code, §§ 5.3 and 5.7

General Provisions - Payments by Electronic Funds Transfer $15 - \frac{458}{1}$

SECRETARY'S CERTIFICATION

I, Daniel Meuser, do hereby certify that I have reviewed this regulation and determined that the regulation is consistent with the principles outlined in Executive Order 1996/1.

Daniel Meuser

Secretary of Revenue

Department of Revenue - Notice of Final-Omitted Rulemaking
61 Pa. Code, §§ 5.3 and 5.7

General Provisions - Payments by Electronic Funds Transfer $_{15}\text{-}458$

FORM AND LEGALITY

I certify that I have reviewed this regulation for form and legality, that I have discussed any legal and policy issues with the attorneys responsible for this regulation, that I have made all appropriate revisions and that all information contained in the Preamble and Annex A is current and accurate.

David R. Kraus
Chief Counsel