The Fishery of Spring Creek: A Watershed Under Siege



Coauthors

- Dr. Bob Carline USGS Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit (retired)
- Becky Dunlap Trout Unlimited
- Bruce Hollender PA Fish and Boat Commission (retired)

Spring Creek 1969







History of the Spring Creek Trout Fishery

- Historically, native brook trout
- Largely replaced by brown trout
- When did the replacement occur?





Theodore Gordon Fishes in Bellefonte

- Early 1870s excellent brook trout fishing
- In a 1915 letter, he states that brown trout have "taken possession" of the stream



Brown Trout Shipments to Watershed

- 1892-1898
- 23 shipments
- Axemann, Bellefonte, Houserville, Lemont, Pleasant Gap, Shingletown, State College

Spring Creek Trout Stocking



Wild Trout Management

- 1982 present: wild trout management
- Kepone and Mirex pollution in 1960-70s resulted in stocking cessation and no harvest due to pollution regulations
- Spring Creek continues to be managed under catch and release regulations

Current Status of Fishery











Fishery Statistics



1988-89 vs 2006 April – June: Hours/mile

	Fisherman's Paradise	RT 550
1988-89	4,068	1,318
2006	8,146	6,989

*Trout caught an average of 5 times per year

Angler-hours/mile

2004 Statewide – opening day to SEP 3	239
2006 RT 550 – opening day to JUN 30	6,989
2006 Fisherman's Paradise – opening day to JUN 30	8,146

Economic Value

- Shafer et al. (1993) estimated economic revenue generated by angler use was \$14,000/mile for the lower section and \$71,000/mile for the middle section.
- 15 miles in length x \$40,000/mile avg x an increase in angler use 2-4 fold = \$1 million annually

Water Quality and Quantity Importance

- Exceptional wild trout fishery
- Drinking water
- Sewage disposal
- State fish hatcheries



History of Water Quality



UAJA sewage treatment plant outflow















Microscreen disk filters - Pleasant Gap, Bellefonte and Benner Spring State Fish Hatcheries



Toxic, Anoxic, and Thermal Fish Kills



Fish Kills >100 specimens

Decade	Incidents	Range
1950	5	100s->150,000
1960	3	100s-1000s
1970	6	100s->25,000
1980	1	>1000
1990	1	100s
2000	1	>250







Green drake mayfly; Photo by C. Meck

History of Kepone and Mirex contamination from Nease Chemical

- 1976 Kepone and Mirex detected in trout
- 1977 Stocking reduced downstream
- 1978 Discontinued stocking
- 1982 No-kill regs for any species Oak Hall to Milesburg
- 1983 Designated as Superfund site
- 2001 No-harvest of trout; harvest allowed for other species

2011 and Beyond: Water Quantity

- Drinking water withdrawals
- Storm water inputs

Schueler (1994) suggests that the amount of impervious surface area (roof tops and pavement) is a good measure of the intensity of development in a watershed

Status of Trout Populations



Status of Trout Populations



Other Streams with Trout but >10% Impervious Surface

- Valley Ck, PA and Stillwater Ck, MD
- Authors suggest large spring flow sustains wild trout

Other Streams with Trout but >10% Impervious Surface

- Valley Ck, PA and Stillwater Ck, MD
- Authors suggest large spring flow sustains wild trout
- Groundwater drainage area 17% larger than surface water drainage area
- Geologic formations; sinkholes

What is the upper limit of impervious surface before we lose the wild brown trout population in Spring Creek?



Human Population Trends





Important Decisions

- Protection of remaining groundwater recharge areas
- Enhancement of forested riparian buffers along stream and sinkholes
- Effective stormwater management on new development and retrofitting older developments
- Alternate drinking water sources?

Summary

- Water quality deteriorates from early 1900s to late 1950s
- Significant improvement in water quality from 1980s to present
- Human induced impacts historically and continue to impair the fishery

Summary

- Wild trout population good overall
- Rich groundwater resources sustaining trout population
- Increased development poses serious threat to trout population and is a major concern

Why Care?

- Most impacts to our waterways and fisheries are coming from outside sources
- 7% of Pennsylvanians are anglers
- 2% of Americans are anglers
- If we don't stand up for the resource then who will?

